From:

East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two

To: Cc:

Subject:

Applications from Scottish Power Renewables and proposed Nautilus, Eurolink, UK Interconnectors SCD1

and SCD2, Five Estuaries and North Falls projects at Friston.

Date: 26 November 2021 16:55:26

Dear Sirs,

Potential flood risks at Friston.

Friston has a permanent flood risk as the land to the west and north west of the Village is shaped like a large saucer where excess water has to drain through the centre of the village. There has been a consistant record of flooding for decades with damage to properties.

All the proposed substations would be situated within this saucer therefore greatly increasing the flood risks to the Village despite any holding reservoirs planned for each project.

Any construction period, which could last for fifteen or more years for all the proposed projects, would greatly exasperate the risks of flooding as reservoirs would not be completed and large areas of the land around the projects would be compacted by vehicles etc forcing water to run off to an inadequate drainage system rather than being absorbed into the soil.

This site is completely unsuitable for the proposed huge industrial development and is only being proposed because of National Grid Ventures (NGV) refusal to examine more suitable alternative sites.

Everyone supports the drive for renewable wind power but, as the Prime Minister made clear, this must not be at the danger and cost to residents.

I and the residents of Friston propose a split decision on the current application to agree the offshore developments but to decline the onshore proposals and instruct NGV to propose a more suitable site, such as Bradwell, as quickly as possible.

Yours faithfully,

Tony Morley,

PS. In addition to being a much more suitable site Bradwell has access by sea which would minimise HGV traffic which would have such a disastrous effect on the holiday and farming businesses in East Suffolk for years to come if Friston was chosen for this development.